## Case 2:24-mj-00108-JDP Document 10 Filed 09/23/24 Page 1 of 4

	200 2.2 :, 00200 02.	10 · 00/10/2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney		
2	EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
3			
4			
5	(710) 334 2700		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	DI TIVE LE VITE DE CO	DATES DISTRICT COLUMN	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-MJ-00108	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE	
13	v.	5.1(d) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME	
14	GABRIEL QUINTERO,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Assistant United States		
18	Attorney Emily G. Sauvageau, and defendant Gabriel Quintero, both individually and by and through		
19	his counsel of record, Mark Reichel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. The Complaint in this case was filed on September 17, 2024, and defendant first appeared		
21	before a judicial officer of the Court in which the charges in this case were pending on September 18,		
22	2024. The court set a preliminary hearing date of October 2, 2024.		

2. By this stipulation, the parties jointly move for an extension of time of the preliminary hearing date to October 17, 2024, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge, pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties stipulate that the delay is required to allow the defense reasonable time for preparation, for the government's continuing investigation of the case, and for the parties to discuss initial discovery and potential disposition of the case. The parties further agree that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public

STIPULATION 1

## 

1	and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.	§ 3161(h)(7)(A).	
2	3. The parties agree that good cause exists for the extension of time, and that the extension		
3	of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.		
4	Therefore, the parties request that the time between October 2, 2024, and October 17, 2024, be excluded		
5	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8	Dated: September 20, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
9			
10		/s/ Emily G. Sauvageau EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU	
11		Assistant United States Attorney	
12			
13	Dated: September 20, 2024	/s/ Mark Reichel MARK REICHEL	
14		Counsel for Defendant Gabriel Quintero	
15		Gaoriei Quintero	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

STIPULATION 2

28

## Case 2:24-mj-00108-JDP Document 10 Filed 09/23/24 Page 3 of 4

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile. (910) 334-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	Officed States of Afficience		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-MJ-00108	
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE	
13	V.	5.1(d) AND EXCLUDING TIME	
14	GABRIEL QUINTERO,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing		
18	Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, filed by the parties in this matter on September 20, 2024.		
19	The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,		
20	demonstrates good cause for an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule		
21	5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.		
22	Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests		
23	of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant		
24	in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would		
25	not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.		
26	THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:		
27	1. The date of the preliminary hearing	ng is extended to October 17, 2024, at 2:00 p.m.	

The time between October 2, 2024, and October 17, 2024, shall be excluded from

Order 1

28

2.

## Case 2:24-mj-00108-JDP Document 10 Filed 09/23/24 Page 4 of 4

calculation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 3. Defendants shall appear at that date and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty. IT IS SO ORDERED. Carop U. Delam Dated: September 20, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 

Order 2